

**PROPOSED REGULATIONS BY DLSE TO IMPLEMENT  
THE PROVISIONS OF AB 1688  
GOVERNING ENFORCEMENT AND REGISTRATION  
IN THE CAR WASH INDUSTRY  
Title 8, California Code of Regulations  
Division 1, Chapter 6, Subchapter 11  
Section 13694**

**ADDENDUM TO THE FINAL STATEMENT OF REASONS:**

**UPDATE OF INITIAL STATEMENT OF REASONS**

**EVIDENCE SUPPORTING FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT ADVERSE  
ECONOMIC IMPACT ON ANY BUSINESS**

This action will not have a significant adverse economic impact on business.

Establishing procedures for making a claim for payment from the Car Wash Worker Restitution Fund will not adversely affect small businesses, because most of the employers engaged in the car washing and polishing business are small businesses and will not incur any expense as a result of a person following the procedures of making a claim of recovery from the Fund. And if any expense were to be incurred, it would be insignificant and minimal at best. Therefore, the proposed regulation would not have a significant adverse impact on employers engaged in the business of car washing and polishing.

**FINAL TEXT**

Changes were made to improve formatting and grammar and to clarify without materially altering the requirements, rights, responsibilities, conditions, or prescriptions contained in the original text as required by Title 1, CCR Section 40. The changes are as follows:

Introductory paragraph to 13694: A sentence was added to clarify that the Labor Commissioner's determinations will be based on a consideration of the information requested in subsection (b).

Subsection (b)(12): A phrase is inserted to avoid duplication and clarify that this item only needs to be provided if different from the amount requested in (b)(11).

Subsection (16): This subpart was revised and reformatted to become subsection (c) in order to:

- 1) Clarify that the information requested in (b)(3) through (13) does not have to be provided twice, but that there must be supporting documentation of that information either in the form of a judgment or declaration.

- 2) Remove some unnecessary or duplicative phrases to improve readability by those directly affected.

Subsections (c) and (d) were reformatted to become (d) and (e) due to the modifications described above.

A new subsection (d) was revised to correct grammar and vague terms.

The Authority citation was corrected to reflect the changed numbering of the statute.”

## **SUMMARY AND RESPONSE TO COMMENTS**

During the public comment period following issuance of proposed regulations contained in Section 13694, written comments were received from the following person: 15) Nancy Cervantes, Neighborhood Legal Services (CERVANTES);

A written comment was also included in the record, which was received from “a group of car wash owners in the city of Los Angeles, CA” on August 5, 2005, after the June 21, 2005 close of comment period.

During the public hearing held on June 21, 2005 in Los Angeles regarding this proposed regulation as well as the proposed regulations contained in Sections 13680 to 13693, written comments were received from the following persons: 1) Patrick Shea, WCA; 2) Jose Tello, NLS of LA County; 3) Anel Flores, LAFLA; 4) Anita Garcia, UCLA Labor Center; 5) Victor Narro, UCLA Labor Center; 6) Itzel Williams, Dept of Labor Occupational Safety and Health, UCLA.